



Charmonium States

M. L. Lekala

UNISA



Outline

- Motive & Challenges



Outline

- Motive & Challenges
- Approach



Outline

- Motive & Challenges
- Approach
- Conclusions



Motive & Challenges

- New charmonium states above the $D\bar{D}$ threshold have been recently observed.



Motive & Challenges

- New charmonium states above the $D\bar{D}$ threshold have been recently observed.
- Some states consistent with conventional $c\bar{c}$ states, others not.



Motive & Challenges

- New charmonium states above the $D\bar{D}$ threshold have been recently observed.
- Some states consistent with conventional $c\bar{c}$ states, others not.
- Look for possible interpretations.



Motive & Challenges

- New charmonium states above the $D\bar{D}$ threshold have been recently observed.
- Some states consistent with conventional $c\bar{c}$ states, others not.
- Look for possible interpretations.
- States of charmonium are close to one another.



Motive & Challenges

- New charmonium states above the $D\bar{D}$ threshold have been recently observed.
- Some states consistent with conventional $c\bar{c}$ states, others not.
- Look for possible interpretations.
- States of charmonium are close to one another.
- We are concerned with obtaining the spectrum of the $c\bar{c}$ system using few-body methods.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- X(3872) discovered by the Belle experiment (confirmed by BarBar).



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- X(3872) discovered by the Belle experiment (confirmed by BarBar).

Considered a narrow state of mass $3872 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+ + \pi^-$.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- X(3872) discovered by the Belle experiment (confirmed by BarBar).

Considered a narrow state of mass $3872 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+ + \pi^-$.

However, no signal observed in $B \rightarrow X^- K, X^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi$.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- X(3872) discovered by the Belle experiment (confirmed by BarBar).

Considered a narrow state of mass $3872 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+ + \pi^-$.

However, no signal observed in $B \rightarrow X^- K, X^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi$.

$B \rightarrow X^- K, X^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi \implies$ a charged partner of X(3872).



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- X(3872) discovered by the Belle experiment (confirmed by BarBar).

Considered a narrow state of mass $3872 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^+ + \pi^-$.

However, no signal observed in $B \rightarrow X^- K, X^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi$.

$B \rightarrow X^- K, X^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi \implies$ a charged partner of X(3872).

- Experimental focus: Determination of mass, width, and decay properties: i.e. quantum numbers & (possible) position in $c\bar{c}$ system of states.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Experimental knowledge:



$X(3872)$ state & interpretation/challenges

- Experimental knowledge:

Decays	$\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ $\rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ $\rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$
Mass	$3872.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
Γ	$< 2.3 \text{ MeV} (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ $3.0_{1.4}^{1.9} \pm 0.9 \text{ MeV} (D^0 \bar{D}^0)$



$X(3872)$ state & interpretation/challenges

- Experimental knowledge:

Decays	$\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ $\rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ $\rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$
Mass	$3872.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
Γ	$< 2.3 \text{ MeV} (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ $3.0_{1.4}^{1.9} \pm 0.9 \text{ MeV} (D^0 \bar{D}^0)$

- $X(3872)$ lies well above $D\bar{D}$ threshold



$X(3872)$ state & interpretation/challenges

- Experimental knowledge:

Decays	$\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$ $\rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ $\rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$
Mass	$3872.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
Γ	$< 2.3 \text{ MeV} (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ $3.0_{1.4}^{1.9} \pm 0.9 \text{ MeV} (D^0 \bar{D}^0)$

- $X(3872)$ lies well above $D\bar{D}$ threshold

$\Rightarrow J^P = 0^-, 1^+, 2^-$ are favoured (which are unnatural).



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Belle collaboration favours $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Belle collaboration favours $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$.
- CDF cannot distinguish between $J^{PC} = J^{++}$ and $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Belle collaboration favours $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$.
- CDF cannot distinguish between $J^{PC} = J^{++}$ and $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$.
- Also, $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$ is disfavoured by $D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi$ decay mode



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Belle collaboration favours $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$.
- CDF cannot distinguish between $J^{PC} = J^{++}$ and $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$.
- Also, $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$ is disfavoured by $D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi$ decay mode
- On the other hand, $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$, it is argued, could naturally explain the observed mass shift between the $\pi^+ \pi^- J\psi$ and $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ modes



Other $c\bar{c}$ states

- X(3930) and/or Z(3980) as 2^3P_2 candidate
observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ (Belle)



Other $c\bar{c}$ states

- X(3930) and/or Z(3980) as 2^3P_2 candidate observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ (Belle)

- X(3945) and/or Y(3940)



Other $c\bar{c}$ states

- X(3930) and/or Z(3980) as 2^3P_2 candidate observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ (Belle)

- X(3945) and/or Y(3940)



Other $c\bar{c}$ states

- X(3930) and/or Z(3980) as 2^3P_2 candidate observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ (Belle)
- X(3945) and/or Y(3940)

-
- Experimental work on $c\bar{c}$



Other $c\bar{c}$ states

- X(3930) and/or Z(3980) as 2^3P_2 candidate observed in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ (Belle)

- X(3945) and/or Y(3940)

-
- Experimental work on $c\bar{c}$
 - Belle collaboration
 - BarBar collaboration
 - CLEO collaboration
 - CDF collaboration



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Conventional $c\bar{c}$ states



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Conventional $c\bar{c}$ states

$1D$ and $2P$ are comparable in mass



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Conventional $c\bar{c}$ states

$1D$ and $2P$ are comparable in mass

$\implies J^{PC} = 1^{++} 2^3P_1$ and $J^{PC} = 2^{-+} 1D_2$ assignments are possible.



X(3872) state & interpretation/challenges

- Conventional $c\bar{c}$ states

$1D$ and $2P$ are comparable in mass

$\implies J^{PC} = 1^{++} 2^3P_1$ and $J^{PC} = 2^{-+} 1D_2$ assignments are possible.

Need for robust methods



Approach

- Reminder



Approach

- Reminder

quantum numbers of X(3872) and its identity not well known.



Approach

- Reminder

quantum numbers of X(3872) and its identity not well known.

- Suggestion: X(3872) could be



Approach

- Reminder

quantum numbers of X(3872) and its identity not well known.

- Suggestion: X(3872) could be

- # a candidate for 1^1D_2 state

- # hybrid state

- # $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ “molecule”



Approach

- Reminder

quantum numbers of X(3872) and its identity not well known.

- Suggestion: X(3872) could be

- # a candidate for 1^1D_2 state

- # hybrid state

- # $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ “molecule”

- Computation of meson properties in QCD is non-perturbative

- LQCD

- NRQCD



Approach

- **Reminder**

quantum numbers of X(3872) and its identity not well known.

- **Suggestion: X(3872) could be**

- # a candidate for 1^1D_2 state

- # hybrid state

- # $D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ “molecule”

- Computation of meson properties in QCD is non-perturbative

- LQCD

- NRQCD

- **starting point, Faddeev-like equations ($J = 1, 2$)**



Approach ...

- Case 1=2

$$\left(H_0 + V_\alpha + V_3 + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} V_\beta^l - E \right) \psi_\alpha = -V_\alpha \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \psi_\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$$



Approach ...

- Case 1=2

$$\left(H_0 + V_\alpha + V_3 + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} V_\beta^l - E \right) \psi_\alpha = -V_\alpha \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \psi_\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$$

- Capable for studying, e.g.

$$X \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi + \pi$$



Approach ...

- Expansions leads to linear inhomogeneous equations

$$\sum_{ijk} (A_{ijk} + EB_{ijk}) a_{ijk} = \sum_{ijk} P_{ijk} a_{ijk}$$

$$\sum_{ijk} (1 + EB_{ijk} A_{ijk}^{-1}) \tilde{a}_{ijk} = \sum_{ijk} P_{ijk} A_{ijk}^{-1} \tilde{a}_{ijk}$$



Example

- Potential: $V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + br$



Example

- Potential: $V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + br$
- $\ell = 1: X \sim 3600 \pm 23$



Example

- Potential: $V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + br$
- $\ell = 1$: $X \sim 3600 \pm 23$
- $\ell = 2$: $X \sim 3518 \pm 50$



Conclusions

- Systematic searches for states such as $X(3972)$, which lies close to threshold are needed. Independent confirmation required.



Conclusions

- Systematic searches for states such as $X(3972)$, which lies close to threshold are needed. Independent confirmation required.
- Other methods/approaches that are more sensitive may be employed. E.g. global minimization search.



Conclusions

- Systematic searches for states such as $X(3972)$, which lies close to threshold are needed. Independent confirmation required.
- Other methods/approaches that are more sensitive may be employed. E.g. global minimization search.
- Faddeev approach holds promise.



Conclusions

- Systematic searches for states such as $X(3972)$, which lies close to threshold are needed. Independent confirmation required.
- Other methods/approaches that are more sensitive may be employed. E.g. global minimization search.
- Faddeev approach holds promise.
- Further work necessary and underway.
 - $X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + J/\psi?$ or
 - $X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0 + J/\psi?$