

Conformal invariance and the expressions for $C_F^4 \alpha_s^4$ terms in the Bjorken and the Gross-Llewellyn Smith sum rules

A.L.Kataev (INR, Moscow)

Recently the result of analytical calculation of

α_s^4 -correction in $SU(3)$ was obtained for

$$D^{NS}(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{R(s)}{(s+Q^2)^2} ds = 3 \sum_F Q_F^2 C_D^{NS}$$

(Baikov, Chetyrkin, Kuhn (08)). It is

desirable to check or understand the analytical

structure of the α_s^4 result for C_D^{NS} . Why?!

The part of QED variant of this result reads:

$$C_D^{NS}(a) = \left[1 + \frac{3}{4}a - \frac{3}{32}a^2 - \frac{69}{128}a^3 + \left(\frac{4157}{2048} + \frac{96}{256}\zeta_3 \right) a^4 \right]$$

(**Baikov, Chetyrkin, Kuhn (07)**) where $a = \alpha/\pi$.

What is interesting?? The appearance of ζ_3 at $O(a^4)$.

Indeed, at a^3 calculations by **S.G.Gorishny,**

A.L.Kataev, S.A.Larin, L.R.Surguladze(91)

the numbers were **rational**. At a^2 level **C. Bender**

at al (77) claimed without proof that the origin of

rationality is related to the property of conformal

symmetry of $C_D^{NS}(a)$. However, in $SU(4)$ SYM theories

ζ_3 and other transcendentalities appear in analogs

RG-functions though in other combinations (**without**

rational numbers!) **A.V.Kotikov,L.N.Lipatov(07)**

J.M.Drummond,G.P.Korchensky,E.Sokatchev

unproved property of "maximal transcendentality".

It is desirable to understand the status of BChK result.

Proposal: use Crewther relation in the p-space :

Gabadadze, Kataev(95); Kataev (96) Derivation:

Consider the AVV 3-point function

$$T_{\mu\alpha\beta}^{abc}(p, q) = i \int \langle 0 | T A_{\mu}^a(y) V_{\alpha}^b(x) V_{\beta}^c(0) | 0 \rangle e^{ipx+iqy} dx dy$$

$$= d^{abc} T_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q) \text{ where } A_{\mu}^a(x) = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 (\lambda^a / 2) \psi,$$

$$V_{\mu}^a(x) = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} (\lambda^a / 2) \psi \text{ are the A and V NS currents.}$$

The r.h.s. can be expanded in a basis of

3 tensor structures under the condition $(pq) = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q) &= \xi_1(q^2, p^2) \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\tau} p^{\tau} \\ &+ \xi_2(p^2, q^2) (q_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\mu\beta\rho\tau} p^{\rho} q^{\tau} - q_{\beta} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\rho\tau} p^{\rho} q^{\tau}) \\ &+ \xi_3(p^2, q^2) (p_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\mu\beta\rho\tau} p^{\rho} q^{\tau} + p_{\beta} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\rho\tau} p^{\rho} q^{\tau}) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the divergency of A current one can get $\xi_1(q^2, p^2)$:

$$q_{\beta} T_{\mu\alpha\beta}(p, q) = \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\rho\tau} q^{\rho} p^{\tau} \xi_1(q^2, p^2)$$

The conservation of the V currents implies

$$\lim_{p^2 \rightarrow \infty} p^2 \xi_3(q^2, p^2) = -\xi_1(q^2, p^2)$$

The definition of polarized Bjorken SR

$$B_{jp}(Q^2) = \int_0^1 [g_1^{ep}(x, Q^2) - g_1^{en}(x, Q^2)] dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \left| \frac{g_A}{g_V} \right| C_{B_{jp}}(a_s) \text{ and the Gross-Llewellyn Smith SR}$$

$$GLS(Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[F_3^{\nu p}(x, Q^2) + F_3^{\bar{\nu} p}(x, Q^2) \right] dx$$

$$= 3C_{GLS}(a_s) \text{ where } a_s = \alpha_s/\pi.$$

$C_{B_{jp}}(a_s)$ can be found from OPE of 2 NS V currents

$$i \int T V_\alpha^a(x) V_\beta^b(0) e^{ipx} dx |_{p^2 \rightarrow \infty} \approx C_{\alpha\beta\rho}^{P,abc} A_\rho^c(0) + \dots$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta\rho}^{P,abc} \sim i d^{abc} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \frac{p^\sigma}{P^2} C_{B_{jp}}(a_s) \text{ and } P^2 = -p^2.$$

For GLS SR one should consider the OPE of the

A and V NS currents

$$i \int T A_\mu^a(x) V_\nu^b(0) e^{iqx} dx |_{q^2 \rightarrow \infty} \approx C_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{V,ab} V_\alpha(0) + \dots$$

$$\text{where } C_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{V,ab} \sim i \delta^{ab} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \frac{q^\beta}{Q^2} C_{GLS}(a_s) \text{ and } Q^2 = -q^2.$$

$C_D^{NS}(a_s)$ is the Adler D -function of the NS A currents

$$D^{NS}(a_s) = -12\pi^2 q^2 \frac{d}{dq^2} \Pi_{NS}(q^2) = 3 \sum_F Q_F^2 C_D^{NS}(a_s)$$

$$i \int \langle 0 | T A_\mu^a(x) A_\nu^b(0) | 0 \rangle e^{iqx} dx = \delta^{ab} (g_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu) \Pi_{NS}$$

$$\xi_2(q^2, p^2) |_{|p^2| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2} C_{Bjp}(a_s) \Pi_{NS}(a_s)$$

$$q^2 \frac{d}{dq^2} \xi_2(q^2, p^2) |_{|p^2| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2} C_{Bjp}(a_s) C_D^{NS}(a_s)$$

On the other hand, it was shown in that in a conformal invariant (c-i) limit

$$T_{\mu\alpha\beta}^{abc}(p, q) |_{c-i} = d^{abc} K(a_s) \Delta_{\mu\alpha\beta}^{1-loop}(p, q)$$

In other words, in a conformal invariant limit one has

$$\xi_1^{c-i}(q^2, p^2) = K(a_s) \xi_1^{1-loop}(q^2, p^2)$$

$$\xi_2^{c-i}(q^2, p^2) = K(a_s) \xi_2^{1-loop}(q^2, p^2)$$

$$\xi_3^{c-i}(q^2, p^2) = K(a_s) \xi_3^{1-loop}(q^2, p^2)$$

In view of the Adler-Bardeen theorem the amplitude

$\xi_1(q^2, p^2)$ has no radiative corrections, $K(a_s) = 1$

and $C_{Bjp}(a_s(Q^2)) C_D^{NS}(a_s(Q^2)) |_{c-i} = 1$

The results of **Baikov, Chetyrkin and Kuhn** are equivalent to the QCD result

$$C_D^{NS}(a_s) = \left[1 + \frac{3}{4}C_F a_s - \frac{3}{32}C_F^2 a_s^2 - \frac{69}{128}C_F^3 a_s^3 + \left(\frac{4157}{2048} + \frac{96}{256}\zeta_3 \right) C_F^4 a_s^4 \right] \text{ where } C_F = (N^2 - 1)/(2N).$$

The scheme-independent contributions to Bjorken polarized and GLS sum rule are

$$C_{Bjp}(a_s) = C_{GLS}(a_s) = 1 - \frac{3}{4}C_F a_s + \frac{21}{32}C_F^2 a_s^2 - \frac{3}{128}C_F^3 a_s^3 - \left(\frac{4823}{2048} + \frac{3}{8}\zeta_3 \right) C_F^4 a_s^4$$

Conclusion

- Up to now we have no theoretical arguments pro or contra existing ζ_3 in the conformal-invariant limit of the QCD result
- It will be highly desirable to find solution of this question, keeping in mind the existence of "maximal transcendentality" principle
- It is desirable to check by direct calculation the result for Bjp and GLS - the most convincing solution of this question