MultiPurpose Detector (MPD) at NICA

Status Report NICA/JINR-FAIR Bilateral Workshop Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS) April 2 - 4, 2012

P FR R R

Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

3 April 2012

QCD phase diagram. Prospects for NICA



Energy Range of NICA

unexplored region of the QCD phase diagram:

- Highest net baryon density
- Onset of deconfinement phase transition
- Strong discovery potential:
 a) Critical End Point (CEP)
 b) Chiral Symmetry Restoration
 c) Hypothetic Quarkyonic phase
- Complementary to the RHIC/BES, FAIR, CERN & Nuclotron-M experimental programs

NICA facilities provide unique capabilities for studying a variety of phenomena in a large region of the phase diagram

Superconducting accelerator complex NICA (Nuclotron based Ion Collider fAcility)



3 stages of putting into operation



V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

3 April 2012

MPD Observables

I stage: mid rapidity region (good performance)

Particle yields and spectra (π,K,p,clusters,Λ, Ξ,Ω)
 Event-by-event fluctuations
 Femtoscopy involving π, K, p, Λ

Collective flow for identified hadron species

Electromagnetic probes (electrons, gammas)

II stage: *extended rapidity* + *ITS*

....

۵

Total particle multiplicities

Asymmetries study (better reaction plane determination)

Di-Lepton precise study (ECal expansion)

Charm

Exotics (soft photons, hypernuclei)

Measurements regarded as complementary to RHIC/BES and CERN/NA61, However, higher statistics & (close to) the total yields for rare probes at MPD No boost invariance at NICA – more accurate source parameters fit without rapidity cut Rapidity dependence of the fireball thermal parameters will be possible at NICA

Coverage of angles



Particle yields, Au+Au @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8 \text{ GeV}$ (central)

Expectations for 10 weeks of running at $L = 10^{27} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (duty factor = 0.5)

Particl	Yields		Decay	BR	*Effic. %	Yield/10 w	
e	4π	y=0	mode				
π^+	293	97			61	2.6 · 10 ¹¹	
K +	59	20			50	4.3 · 10 ¹⁰	
р	140	41			60	1.2 · 10 ¹¹	
ρ	31	17	e+e-	4.7 · 10 -5	35	7.3 · 10 ⁵	
ω	20	11	e+e-	7.1 · 10 -5	35	7.2 · 10 ⁵	
φ	2.6	1.2	e+e-	3 · 10 -4	35	1.7 · 10 ⁵	
Ω	0.14	0.1	ΛK	0.68	2	2.7 · 10 ⁶	
Dº	2 · 10 -3	1.6 · 10 - 3	Κ ⁺ π ⁻	0.038	20	2.2 · 10 ⁴	
J/ψ _	8 · 10 -5	6 · 10 -5	e+e-	0.06	15	10 ³	
-	*Efficiency includes the MPD acceptance, realistic tracking and particle ID. Particle Yields from experimental data (NA49), statistical and HSD models.						

MPD performance: tracking, PID



Low-p cutoff ~ 100 MeV for a 0.5 T magnetic field

D

V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

MPD phase-space coverage (barrel+endcap, no ITS, identified hadrons)



Tracking capability in the Forward direction (momentum resolution)



5

0

0

0.5

1.5

1

2

Pseudorapidity



Particle IDentification in MPD



- Coverage: $|\eta| < 1.4$, $p_t=0.1-2$ GeVc barrel $|\eta| < 2.6$, pt=0.1-2 GeVc barrel+EC
- Matching eff.: > 85% at p_t > 0.5 GeV/c
- PID: $2\sigma \pi/K \sim 1.7 \text{ GeV/c}, (\pi, K)/p \sim 2.5 \text{ GeV/c}$

Hadron spectra and yields in MPD 1st Stage

- Full reconstruction chain, realistic PID, corrections from simulations
- Hadron spectra at midrapidity: large p_τ-coverage
- Forward rapidities: extrapolations to unmeasured regions under development



V0 performance (TPC+ITS)



Improved Signal-to-Background ratio (S/B) with the vertex IT detector



1.08

1.1

1.12

1.14

1.16 M_{in.} (GeV/c²)

Ω⁻ -> **Λ**K⁻

۵

decay reconstruction (vertex + particle ID)



Study of lepton pair production

 In-medium modification of vector meson properties may signal on partial chiral symmetry restoration in heavy ion collisions
 Dileptons as penetrating probes of the fireball interior – no FSI
 Existing experimental data underestimated by the vacuum spectral functions for 0.3 GeV < Mee < 0.7 GeV



Required experimental mass resolution ~ 10 MeV

ω : cτ = 23 fm M=783 MeV, Γ=8 MeV φ : cτ = 44 fm M=1019 MeV, Γ=4 MeV ρ : cτ = 1.3 fm M=768 MeV Γ=149 MeV

MPD detector relevant features:

- Low material budget
- Electron ID via combined dE/dx
 & TOF
- Extra hadron suppression by ECAL
- High event rate allowing studying of dielectron continuum up to a large pT



Dileptons



Input : central Au+Au at 7 GeV, Pluto + UrQMD
track selection and e-conversion suppression
PID by dE/dx and TOF, hadron suppression ~ 10⁻⁵
Extra suppression by ECAL

Selection: $|\eta| < 1.2$, # of TPC points ≥ 20 0.2 p<2 GeV/c Efficiency: **35**% misID contamination: -**19.0**% (w/o ECAL signal) - **1.4**% (with ECAL signal)





Dilepton invariant spectra (simulation -> reconstruction)



NICA-MPD: $\sigma_{\varphi} = 17$ MeV, S/B = 0.045 $\sigma_{\omega} = 14$ MeV, S/B = 0.047 NA49 (ϕ) S/B = 2% STAR (ω, ϕ) S/B = 4-6% CERES (0.2<Mee<1.2 GeV/c²) S/B = 17% (!)

Source of a large background so far: conversion electrons and misidentified hadrons

Flow @ MPD

- MPD capability for event plane determination: v2 in TPC and v1 at high rapidities (potential for improvements up to a factor of 2)
- ✓ v2 in TPC by a 'two sub-events method' to avoid autocorrelations
- Measurement of spectators of both colliding nuclei; centrality determination by track multiplicity and spectator energy deposit



Extended ZDC detector ($2 < \eta < 5$) improves RP resolution at low and medium b



- L = 120 (60) cm
- 5 < R < 71 cm, 1<**θ**<14° (2<**η**<5)
- Cell dimensions= 2.5x2.5

(5x5,10x10) cm

- w_i=Σ E_{loss} in active layers as weights
- No π/p identification
- •Geant 3 vs Geant 4

V.Kapishin

Event plane resolution (ext-ZDC)







Di-Leptons

Progress in R&D

TPC design

۵

Details in the report of Stepan Razin



TOF design



In sector \rightarrow 19 mRPCs; 1 mRPC has 24 strips (60x2) cm²

In sector \rightarrow 19 mRPC x 24 strips =456 channels

In barrel \rightarrow 12 sectors;

- In barrel \rightarrow 19 mRPC x 12 sectors =228 mRPC
- In barrel \rightarrow 228 mRPC x 24 strips = 5472 channels;

In case if readout from both sides = 10944 channels=1368 chips NINO(8ch)

We have enough electronics for barrel TOF





Experimental Setup for TOF prototypes test in the NUCLOTRON beam line (Run 44, December 2011)



6 strips were readout from both



ast Forward Detector (FFD)



FED: quartz Cherenkov radiator with micro-channel plate PMT











ZDC Beam test at CERN



• Experimental and MC spectra (good agreement at > 2 GeV); studies are required at 2 GeV

• The energy resolution of 3x3 supermodule: ~56% (stochastic term) + 3.7% (constant term).

ECAL-ReadOut





Straw Tracker



3 April 2012

۵

V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

Inner Tracking System (ITS)



Figure 1: Prototypes of CBM STS sensitive modules.

- 4 cylindrical & disk layers
- 300 μm double-sided silicon microstrip detectors, pitch - 100 μm
- Thickness/layer ~ 0.8% X₀
- Barrel: R=1-4 cm, coverage |η|<2.5
 806 sensors of 62x62 mm²
- Disks: under optimization
- resolution: $\sigma_z = 120 \,\mu \text{m}$, $\sigma_{r_0} = 23 \,\mu \text{m}$



Figure 2: Prototype of the ladder of the CBM STS (supermodule) with one sensitive detector module built of three sensors







Cabling and cooling lines scheme



Assembly and maintenance



3 April 2012

V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

Timetable of MPD construction and commissioning

	Stage/Year		2	3	4	5	Total
	Budget profile for MPD→		12500	15500	9300	2560	40940
1	Experimental Hall	"					
	NICA Hall Construction	》					
	Electricity, water & infrastructure						
	Crane(construction & certification)						
2	Superconducting Magnet						
	Magnet TDR and Tender						
	Call for Tender-Yoke,SC,trim coils						
	Contracts signing	· ·	`				
	Construction of Hon York & SC						
	Transportation						
	Cryogenics for Solenoid						
	Assembling & Commiss. of Solenoid						
	Field measurements						
3	ТРС						
	TPC Assembling workshop						
	TPC Construction						
	TPC tests						: E
	TPC installation and Commissioning						
4	TOF						n
	TOF Assembling area						
	Test area of TOF mRPC						ta
	TOF Mass Production and test						
	TOF installation & Commissioning						
5	ECal modules production						
	ECal Assembling in sectors						
	ECal installation & Commissioning						
6	ZDC construction and installation						
7	Electronics, Network and						
	DAQ production & implementation						
	Control Room construction						
	Slow Control system implementation						
	Computing for Data taking & network						
8	Detector Assembling						
9	Commissioning and Cosmic Tests						

3 April 2012

٥

Summary

The MPD design - close to completion External referee's advices are very essential & will be taken into account We would be grateful for the continuation of regular expertise The MPD technical project preparation is under progress The key milestones should be defined/corr. asap The major element production/construction should start-up in 2012





3 April 2012

٥

V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

Time schedule of TPC design and construction

Time Schedule of R&D, Desing, and Production of TPC/MPD (including Infrustructure) Task Name 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 II III IV П Ш . TPC TPC R&D and prototyping TPC design TPC Field cage* design, prototyping TPC Field Cage Production RoC design, prototyping RoC mass production, test FEE design FEE mass production Container construct. for TPC, tooling TPC laser system design TPC laser calibr. system construction TPC assembling and lab. testing TPC gas system construction, test Slow control system TPC readout, DAQ construction, test Electronic Cooling (construction), test TPC installation into MPD, tooling Commissioning of TPC into MPD Total (2010-2017): 8.6M\$ 700K\$ 2200K\$ 300K\$ 2200K\$ 1800K\$ 900K\$ 200k\$ R&D, Design, Prototyping Cost - 1,5 M\$ (2010 - 2013)R&D, Design, Prototyping Productin Cost - 6,85 M\$ Production (2012-2017) Infrustructure Cost - 0,25 M\$ Inftrustructure (2012 - 2013)

3 April 2012

V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

Time Projection Chamber (TPC)



TPC is a heart of MPD

<u>Main Parameters</u>

Size: 3.4 m (length) x 2.2 m (diameter) Drift Length: 150cm Electric field: 140V/cm Magnetic field: 0.5 T (max.) Gas: 90% Argon + 10% Methane Readout: 2x12 sectors (MWPC+ pads or GEM) Pad size – 5x12 mm in all sector area Total # of pads: ~80 000 Rate capability: ~ 7 kHz

<u>internance required</u>

Spatial resolution: σ_{r_0} ~300 µm, σ_z ~ 2 mm Two track resolution: < 1 cm Momentum resolution: $\Delta p/p < 3\%$ (0.2<p<1 GeV/c) dE/dx resolution: < 8% Max. multiplicity: ~ 1000 (central collision)



80 modules 5x5 cm²

ero Degree Calorimeter

measures the energy deposited by spectators.
 event centrality determination (offline b-selection)





- Pb(16mm)+Scint.(4mm) sandwich
- 60 layers of lead-scintillator (1.2m, 5λ)
- Imm WLS fibers + micropixel APD

----- Beam test of ZDC at SPS/CERN (NICA energies)



MPD system coordinators

Magnet TPC **ECal** TOF Straw EndCap ZDC CPC **FFD** ITS DAQ Analysis SoftWare

Integration

- A.Vodopianov
- Yu. Zanevsky
- A.Ol'chevsky, I.Tyapkin
- V.Golovatyuk (+ Beijin Uni)
- V.Peshekhonov
- A.Kurepin (INR RAS)
- Yu.Kiryushin
- V.Yurevich
- Yu.Murin (RI RAS))
- S.Bazylev
- V.Kolesnikov
- O.Rogachevsky (PNPI)
- N.Topilin

Technical coordinator	– V.Golovatyuk
Physics coordinators	R.Lednicky A.Sorin

Full scale prototype tests



Assembling of a full-scale RPC prototype with strip readout



Fig. 2.78: A prototype mRPC plate with the read-out strips.

Beam tests for MPD at Nuclotron Dubna (Russia), Beijing and Hefei (China)



Time resolution of the first RPC prototype with active area $7 \times 14 \text{ cm}^2$. Time resolution < 100 ps.



MPD performance Tracking, PID



↓ Δp/p < 3% at p_t < 1 GeV/c (barrel)
 ↓ Δp/p < 15% for the endcap region
 ↓ TPC+IT tracking : s_{ro}, s_z ~ 40 mm

Forward Spectrometer



Fig. 2.138: Momentum resolution of Forward Spectrometer as a function of particle momentum. Two lines represent coordinate resolution of tracker 200 μ m (top line) and 100 μ m (bottom line).



The MPD Apparatus



Active volume

5 m (length) x 4 m (diameter) Magnet

0.5 T superconductor

TPC – the main tracker, straw EndCapTracker, microstrip Si (IT) for vertexing, forward tracking (GEM, CPC)

Particle ID

hadrons(TPC+TOF), π⁰,γ (ECAL), e⁺e⁻(TPC+TOF+ECAL) • Centrality & T0 timing

ZDC

FD

MPD Advantages:

- \Box Hermeticity, homogenous acceptance (2 π in azimuth)
- Low material budget
- High event rate capability

Rejection of conversion electrons in MPD



 $\cos(\psi) = ([\mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_2], \mathbf{B}) / [[\mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_2]] / |\mathbf{B}|$

Selection: $V0 > 4cm \&\& cos(\psi) > 0.4$

۵

V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

NICA/MPD. Bulk observables (1st Stage)

Particle spectra, yields, ratios:

- Basic information about the fireball properties: thMapping the QCD phase diagram in the (T-μ_B) plane termodynamics, chemistry, expansion
- Underlying dynamics, signal of deconfinement: non-monotonic behavior in hadron production
- Search for the Critical Point: particle number fluctuations, etc.

MPD detector relevant features:

Large phase-space coverage

Tracking and PID up to high pseudorapidities

Precise event characterization

Assembling and Testing of Prototype '0'

- Two prototypes are constructed:
- Prototype '0'
- Technological prototype (constructed with INDUSTRY)



The **Prototype '0'** is tested with UV laser and cosmic rays.

Testing of Prototype '0'

- Drift length = 40 cm, E drift = 140 v/cm
- Pad Plane in readout chamber ; Pad size 6 x 12 mm
- 256 channels of FEE
- 2 quartz windows for laser beam
- Obtained Spatial resolution
 - for UV Lase beam 0,3 mm
- 3 April 2012 0,4 mm_{V.Kekelidze}, O.Rogachevsky

Pad Plane
Reconstructed tracks of

'0'

Technological Prototype of TPC / MPD







Material: Kevlar laminated by Tedlar film Diameter - 950 mm Length - 900 mm Wall thickness - 2 mm Weight ~ 10 kg

Preliminary assembling of Technological Prototype TPC in November 2011. Start of the Prototype testing is planning on February 2012.

Technological prototype of TPC's inner tube Diameter = 0.57 m,

Length = 3.0 m

Framework for MPD (...+ models)



CBM-MPD consortium structure (for VD module development)



V.Kekelidze, O.Rogachevsky

MPD staging



- on one hand, by the goal to start energy scan as soon as the first beam are available

(simultaneously with detector & machine final commissioning)

on the other hand,
 by the present constrains in resources & manpower

the conditions to be fulfilled:

keeping flexibility for upgrading towards interesting physics

foreseeing possibility of new technology implementations

foreseeing fields of activities for new potential collaborators⁴⁹