Polarized DY and DPP processes: twist three and gluon poles

I.V. Anikin JINR, Dubna

based on

PLB690, 519 (2010); EPJC75, 184 (2015); PLB751 495 (2015)

in collaboration with O.V. Teryaev

Workshop HMEC 2016, Dubna

November 2, 2016

- Having used the Contour Gauge and the Collinear Factorization for Drell-Yan and Direct Photon Production hadron tensors, we find new contributions to gluon poles.
- In the Feynman Gauge, we discuss the constraints for the gluon poles in the DY hadron tensor.

Geometrical interpretation of gluons



- Gluon field as a connection of P(ℝ⁴, G, π): ℝ⁴ the base of the principal fiber bundle, G the group and {π | ℝ⁴ → P}.
- Each **g**(*x*) defines the gauge-transformed field and forms the orbit of the gauge-equivalent fields.
- The p.t.e. $\dot{x}_{\alpha}(v) \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \mathbf{g}(x(v)) = 0$ has a solution $\mathbf{g}(x) = [x_0, x]$ (Hahn-Banach theorem).
- The contour gauge demands that $\mathbf{g}(x) = 1$ for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and

$$A^{c.g.}_{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{P}(x_0,x)} dz_{\alpha} \frac{\partial Z_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\mu}} G_{\alpha\beta}(z|A^{c.g.}).$$

 The simple illustration of the use of the contour gauge conception, [x₀, x] = 1, which generates the usual axial-type gauges:



On the Contour Gauge, see S.Ivanov, G.Korchemsky, A.Radyushkin '85 - '90

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

Factorization theorem, in a nutshell

Schematically, F.T. (applied, for example, to DVCS) corresponds to



Amplitude = {Hard part (pQCD)} \otimes {Soft part (npQCD)},

where both hard and soft parts are independent of each other, UVand IR-renormalizable and, finally, parton distributions must possess the universality property.

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Drell-Yan process

We study

$$N^{(\uparrow\downarrow)}(p_1) + N(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma^*(q) + X(P_X) \rightarrow \ell(l_1) + \bar{\ell}(l_2) + X(P_X),$$

where $l_1 + l_2 = q$ has a large mass squared ($q^2 = Q^2$).



< 同 ▶ < 臣 ▶ < 臣 ▶ .

The cross-sections reads (kinematics: $p_1 \sim n^{*+}$, $p_2 \sim n^{-}$)

$$d\sigma = (dP.S.)^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mu
u} \mathcal{W}^{GI}_{\mu
u},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}$ is a lepton tensor, and $\mathcal{W}^{GI}_{\mu\nu}$ – the QED gauge invariant hadron tensor.



The standard diagram (a) and the non-standard diagram (b) differ by the hard parts. (Factorization links: IVA, O.V.Teryaev '09.)

Any SSA are defined as

$$\mathsf{SSA} \sim d\sigma^{(\uparrow)} - d\sigma^{(\downarrow)} \sim \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}$$
.

In our case, we deal with the unpolarized leptons, *i.e.* $\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \in \Re e$. Therefore, the hadron tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ should also be real one, *i.e.* $H_{\mu\nu} \in \Re e$, provided, at the same time, one of hadrons is transversely polarized. Usually, it is possible if

$$\begin{split} H^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} &\sim i \, \Im m \, [\text{Hard}] \otimes \left\{ \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{S}_T | \mathcal{O}(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \boldsymbol{A}) | \boldsymbol{S}_T, \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\sim} i \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} s_{\tau} \rho_1 \Phi \right\}, \\ H^{(b)}_{\mu\nu} &\sim \text{Hard} \otimes \left\{ \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{S}_T | \mathcal{O}(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \boldsymbol{A}) | \boldsymbol{S}_T, \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\sim} i \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} s_{\tau} \rho_1 \, i \, \Im m \, [\Phi] \right\}. \end{split}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ ヨト

However, if $B^{V} \in \Re e$, which parametrizes



 $\langle p_1, S^T | \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1 \tilde{n}) \gamma^+ g A_T^{\alpha}(\lambda_2 \tilde{n}) \psi(0) | S^T, p_1 \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} i \varepsilon^{\alpha + S^T -} (p_1 p_2) B^V(x_1, x_2),$ with

$$B^{V}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{x_{1} - x_{2}} T(x_{1}, x_{2}),$$

$$T(x_{1}, x_{2}) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\sim} \langle \bar{\psi}(\lambda_{1}\tilde{n})\gamma^{+}\tilde{n}_{\nu}G_{T}^{\nu\alpha}(\lambda_{2}\tilde{n})\psi(0)\rangle,$$

the non-standard diagram (b) does NOT contribute to the SSA.

As a result, we are faced to a problem with QED gauge invariance and, therefore, with the factorization breaking.

The inference on $B^V \in \Re e$ is based on the solution of the differential equation (within the gauge: $A^+ = 0$)

$$\partial^+ A^{lpha}_T = G^{+\,lpha}_T$$
 .

The solution has previously been assumed to have two equivalent representations:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\mu}(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega^{-} \theta(z^{-} - \omega^{-}) G^{+\mu}(\omega^{-}) + A^{\mu}(-\infty) \\ &= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega^{-} \theta(\omega^{-} - z^{-}) G^{+\mu}(\omega^{-}) + A^{\mu}(\infty) \,. \end{aligned}$$

▲掃 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

Inserting the above-mentioned presentations into the corresponding matrix elements, we thus obtain

$$\Phi^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1,x_2) = \delta(x_1-x_2)\Phi^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{A}(-\infty)}(x_1) + \frac{(-i)\,\Phi^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{G}}(x_1,x_2)}{x_2-x_1-i\epsilon}\,,$$

and

$$\Phi_{A}^{\alpha}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \delta(x_{1} - x_{2})\Phi_{A(+\infty)}^{\alpha}(x_{1}) + \frac{(-i)\Phi_{G}^{\alpha}(x_{1}, x_{2})}{x_{2} - x_{1} + i\epsilon}$$

Here, the corresponding prescriptions $\pm i\epsilon$ arise from the integral representation for the theta-function:

$$heta(\pm x) = rac{\pm i}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \, rac{e^{-ikx}}{k\pm i\epsilon} \, .$$

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

Calculation the plus and minus combinations leads to

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{\alpha}_{A}(x_{1},x_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{\alpha}_{A}(x_{1},x_{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{\alpha}_{A}(x_{1},x_{2}) = \\ \frac{1}{2} \delta(x_{1}-x_{2}) \Big\{ \Phi^{\alpha}_{A(-\infty)}(x_{1}) + \Phi^{\alpha}_{A(+\infty)}(x_{1}) \Big\} + \\ \frac{\mathcal{P}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}(-i) \Phi^{\alpha}_{G}(x_{1},x_{2}) \end{split}$$

and

$$0 = \Phi_A^{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) - \Phi_A^{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) = \\ \delta(x_1 - x_2) \Big\{ \Phi_{A(+\infty)}^{\alpha}(x_1) - \Phi_{A(-\infty)}^{\alpha}(x_1) \Big\} - \\ 2i \pi \, \delta(x_1 - x_2)(-i) \Phi_G^{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) \, .$$

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

◆ロト ◆昂 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 - のへで

So, this ambiguity ultimately gives us the standard representation:

$$\begin{split} B^{V}(x_{1},x_{2}) &= \frac{\mathcal{P}}{x_{1}-x_{2}} T(x_{1},x_{2}) \,, \\ T(x_{1},x_{2}) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\sim} \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\beta} \, \tilde{n}_{\nu} G_{\nu \alpha} \, \psi \rangle \quad T(x,x) \neq 0 \,. \end{split}$$

provided the asymmetric boundary condition for gluons:

$$B^V_{A(\infty)}(x) = -B^V_{A(-\infty)}(x)$$

Thus, for the considered DY, a pure real $B^V(x_1, x_2)$ will lead to the problem with QED gauge invariance which means factorization breaking.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ◆□ ● ● ●

In fact, the two representations are NOT equivalent.

Using the contour gauge conception, one can easily check that

- the representation with $\theta(z^- \omega^-)$ belongs to the gauge $[x, -\infty] = 1$;
- the representation with $\theta(\omega^- z^-)$ belongs to the gauge $[+\infty, x] = 1$.

Therefore, there are no reasons to believe that two repres. are equivalent, *i.e.*

$$\left\{\mathsf{Rep}_{\theta(z^--\omega^-)} \Rightarrow B^V_+(x_1,x_2)\right\} \neq \left\{B^V_-(x_1,x_2) \longleftarrow \mathsf{Rep}_{\theta(\omega^--z^-)}\right\}.$$

We get

$$B^{V}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \frac{T(x_{1}, x_{2})}{x_{1} - x_{2} + i\epsilon} + \delta(x_{1} - x_{2})B^{V}_{A(-\infty)}(x_{1}),$$

$$B^{V}_{A(-\infty)}(x) = 0,$$

which leads to the non-zero contribution from the diagram (b).

Conclusions for DY:

$$\mathsf{ISI} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\ell^+ - i\epsilon} \Rightarrow [z^-, -\infty^-] \Rightarrow \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \Rightarrow \mathsf{GI}$$

The new non-standard diagram $H^{(b)}_{\mu\nu}$ DOES contribute to the DY hadron tensor in the same way as the well-known standard diagram $H^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}$.

The unintegrated tensor $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}$ for the factorized hadron tensor $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}$ of the process reads

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu} = \int d^2 \vec{\mathbf{q}}_T d\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{q^2} \int d^2 \vec{\mathbf{q}}_T \,\delta^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{q}}_T) \times i \int dx_1 \, dy \, [\delta(x_1/x_B - 1)\delta(y/y_B - 1)] \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}.$$

< 日 > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > .

The parametrizing functions are associated with the following correlators:

$$B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\varepsilon} \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_2}{\Leftarrow} \langle \bar{\psi}(\eta_1) \gamma^+ A^T(z) \psi(0) \rangle,$$

$$B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_2}{\Leftarrow} \langle \bar{\psi}(\eta_1) \gamma^\perp A^+(z) \psi(0) \rangle,$$

$$B^{(\perp)}(x_1, x_2) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}_2}{\Leftarrow} \langle \bar{\psi}(\eta_1) \gamma^+ (\partial^\perp A^+(z)) \psi(0) \rangle.$$

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

After some algebra, we arrive at the following contributions for the unintegrated h. t. (which involves all relevant contributions except the mirror ones):

• the standard diagram gives

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.})} &+ \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.},\,\partial_{\perp})} = \overline{q}(y) \left\{ \\ &- \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \,\varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_{2}} \,\int dx_{2} \frac{x_{1} - x_{2}}{x_{1} - x_{2} + i\epsilon} B^{(1)}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \\ &- \left[\frac{p_{2\,\nu}}{x_{1}} \varepsilon_{\mu S^{T} - p_{2}} + \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_{1}} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_{2}} \right] x_{1} \int dx_{2} \frac{B^{(2)}(x_{1}, x_{2})}{x_{1} - x_{2} + i\epsilon} \\ &+ \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \,\varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_{2}} \,\int dx_{2} \frac{B^{(\perp)}(x_{1}, x_{2})}{x_{1} - x_{2} + i\epsilon} \right\}, \end{split}$$

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ …

• the non-standard diagram contributes as

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Non-stand.})} = \overline{q}(y) \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^T - p_2} \int dx_2 \Big\{ B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) + B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \Big\}.$$

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

◆ロト ◆昂 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 - のへで

Summing up all contributions, we finally obtain the expression

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu} &= \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.},\,\partial_{\perp})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Non-stand.})} = \\ \bar{q}(y) \left\{ \left[\frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} - \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \right] \varepsilon_{\nu S^T - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) + \right. \\ \left. \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^T - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) - \left[\frac{p_{2\,\nu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\mu S^T - p_2} + \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^T - p_2} \right] x_1 \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} + \\ \left. \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \varepsilon_{\nu S^T - p_2} \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(\perp)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \right\}, \end{split}$$

Notice that the first term coincides with the hadron tensor calculated within the light-cone (contour) gauge.

▲母 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへの

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu} &= \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.},\,\partial_{\perp})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Non-stand.})} = \\ \bar{q}(y) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} - \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \end{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) + \\ \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) - \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_{2\,\nu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\mu S^{T} - p_2} + \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \end{bmatrix} x_1 \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} + \\ \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(\perp)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu} &= \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.},\,\partial_{\perp})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Non-stand.})} = \\ \bar{q}(y) \left\{ \left[\frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} - \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \right] \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) + \right. \\ \left. \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) - \left[\frac{p_{2\,\nu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\mu S^{T} - p_2} + \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \right] x_1 \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} + \\ \left. \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(\perp)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu} &= \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.},\,\partial_{\perp})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Non-stand.})} = \\ \bar{q}(y) \left\{ \left[\frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} - \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \right] \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) + \right. \\ \left. \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) - \left[\frac{p_{2\,\nu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\mu S^{T} - p_2} + \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \right] x_1 \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} + \\ \left. \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(\perp)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu} &= \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Stand.},\,\partial_{\perp})} + \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{Non-stand.})} = \\ \bar{q}(y) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} - \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \end{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) + \\ \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) - \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_{2\,\nu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\mu S^{T} - p_2} + \frac{p_{2\,\mu}}{x_1} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \end{bmatrix} x_1 \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} + \\ \frac{p_{1\,\mu}}{y} \varepsilon_{\nu S^{T} - p_2} \int dx_2 \frac{B^{(\perp)}(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \right\}, \end{split}$$

Consider the correlator:

$$\begin{split} &\int (d\lambda_1\,d\lambda_2) e^{-ix_1\lambda_1 - i(x_2 - x_1)\lambda_2} \times \\ &\langle \pmb{\rho}_1, \pmb{S}^T | \bar{\psi}(\lambda_1\tilde{n})\,\gamma_\beta^\perp\,\pmb{A}_\alpha^+(\lambda_2\tilde{n})\,\psi(0) | \pmb{S}^T, \pmb{\rho}_1 \rangle \end{split}$$

which can be parametrized with

$$i\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha\mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{T}}-}(p_1p_2)B^{(2)}(x_1,x_2).$$

<ロト < 部 ト < き ト < き ト ...</p>

In the momentum representation, we have $(\ell = k_2 - k_1)$

$$\left[\bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_{\beta}^{\perp}u(k_2)\right]\times\ldots\times\frac{1}{\ell^2+i\varepsilon},$$

where $k_1 = (x_1 p_1^+, k_1^-, \vec{\mathbf{k}}_{1\perp}), k_2 = (x_2 p_1^+, k_2^-, \vec{\mathbf{k}}_{2\perp}).$

To get the non-zero contribution we must have either $\vec{k}_{1\,\perp}\neq 0$ or $\vec{k}_{2\,\perp}\neq 0.$





▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ...

One can conclude that, in the case with the substantial transverse component of the momentum, there are no sources for the gluon pole at $x_1 = x_2$.

As a result, for DY process,

- the function $B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$ has no gluon poles (therefore, there is no dT(x, x)/dx)
- due to T-invariance $(B^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = -B^{(2)}(x_2, x_1))$, the function obeys

$$B^{(2)}(x,x)=0.$$

• The hadron tensor is gauge-independent.

Direct Photon Production in hadron collisions

We now dwell on the direct photon production in two hadron collisions:

$$N^{(\uparrow\downarrow)}(p_1) + N(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(q) + X(P_X)$$
.

where $x_F = 2q_3/\sqrt{S}$ is relatively large. The cross-section $d\sigma$ is defined by the hadron tensor as



It is convenient to fix the dominant light-cone directions as

$$p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{S}{2}} n^*$$
, $p_2 = \sqrt{\frac{S}{2}} n$, with
 $n_{\mu}^* = (1/\sqrt{2}, \mathbf{0}_T, 1/\sqrt{2})$, $n_{\mu} = (1/\sqrt{2}, \mathbf{0}_T, -1/\sqrt{2})$.

The final on-shell photon and quark(anti-quark) momenta can be presented as

$$q = y_B \sqrt{\frac{S}{2}} n - \frac{q_{\perp}^2}{y_B \sqrt{2S}} n^* + q_{\perp} ,$$

 $k = x_B \sqrt{\frac{S}{2}} n^* - \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{x_B \sqrt{2S}} n + k_{\perp} .$

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

The Mandelstam variables for the process and subprocess are defined as

$$\begin{split} S &= (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad T = (p_1 - q)^2, \quad U = (q - p_2)^2, \\ \hat{s} &= (x_1 p_1 + y p_2)^2 = x_1 y S, \\ \hat{t} &= (x_1 p_1 - q)^2 = x_1 T, \quad \hat{u} = (q - y p_2)^2 = y U. \end{split}$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ つくで

QCD gauge invariance

To study the QCD gauge invariance, we consider the following diagrams:



I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

The quark-gluon correlator reads

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\rho}^{\perp}(k_{1},\ell) &= -\int (d^{4}\eta_{1} d^{4}z) e^{-ik_{1}\eta_{1}-i\ell z} \langle p_{1}|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^{+}\psi(\eta_{1})A_{\rho}^{\perp}(z)|p_{1}\rangle \\ &= -\varepsilon_{\rho}^{\perp}\int (d^{4}\eta_{1})e^{-ik_{1}\eta_{1}} \langle p_{1}|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^{+}\psi(\eta_{1})a^{+}(\ell)|p_{1}\rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Factorization procedure gives us

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\rho}^{\perp}(x_1, x_2) &= \int (d^4 k_1 \, d^4 \ell) \delta(x_1 - k_1 n) \delta(x_{21} - \ell n) \Phi_{\rho}^{\perp}(k_1, \ell) = \\ &- \varepsilon_{\rho}^{\perp} \int (d\lambda_1) e^{-ix_1\lambda_1} \langle p_1 | \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ \psi(\lambda_1 n) \int (d^4 \ell) \delta(x_{21} - \ell n) a^+(\ell) | p_1 \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

For checking of the QCD gauge invariance, we make a replacement:
 ^{ĉ⊥} ⇒
 ^ℓ_L in the diagrams.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ …

In the process we consider, we have both ISI and FSI:

$$\textbf{ISI} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\ell^+ - i\epsilon} \Rightarrow [z^-, -\infty^-] \Rightarrow \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon}$$

and

$$\textbf{FSI} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\ell^+ + i\epsilon} \Rightarrow [+\infty^-, z^-] \Rightarrow \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 - i\epsilon}$$

P.S. Definitions: ISI and FSI are defined regarding the hard subprocess.

$$\begin{split} \overline{W^{(1)}} &\sim \mathbf{C}_2 \frac{1}{x_1} \int dx_2 \, \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2} \, \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 - i\epsilon} \,, \\ \overline{W^{(2)}} &\sim \mathbf{C}_2 \frac{1}{x_1} \int dx_2 \, \frac{1}{x_2} \, \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 - i\epsilon} \,, \\ \overline{W^{(3)}} &\sim \mathbf{C}_1 \frac{1}{x_1^2} \int dx_2 \, \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \,, \\ \overline{W^{(4)}} &\sim \mathbf{C}_3 \frac{1}{x_1^2} \int dx_2 \, \frac{T(x_1, x_2)}{x_1 - x_2 + i\epsilon} \,, \end{split}$$

where C_i are corresponding colour factors. After calculation of imaginary parts, we get

$$+\mathbf{C}_{2}-\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{3}=-[t^{a},t^{b}]t^{b}t^{a}-if^{abc}t^{c}t^{a}t^{b}=0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ >

The full expression for the hadron tensor can be split into two groups:

(i) the first type, before factorization, takes the following form

$$\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.H}) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} C_H \int (d^4 k_1) (d^4 k_2) \times \delta^{(4)}(k_1 + k_2 - q - k) \Phi_g^{\alpha\beta}(k_2) \int (d^4 \ell) \Phi_{\perp}^{[\gamma^+],\rho}(k_1,\ell) H^{\alpha\beta,\rho}(k_1,k_2,\ell),$$

(ii) the second type can be presented as

$$\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.D}) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \, \mathcal{C}_D \, \int (d^4 k_1) (d^4 k_2) \times \delta^{(4)}(k_1 + k_2 - q - k) \Phi_g^{\alpha\beta}(k_2) \mathrm{tr}_D \big[\Phi^{(1)}(k_1) \, D^{\alpha\beta}(k_1, k_2) \big] \,.$$

where the twist-3 quark distribution which is given by

$$\Phi^{(1)}(k_1) = \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma_{\perp}^{\rho} \gamma^-}{2k_1^+ + i\epsilon} \int (d^4 \eta_1) e^{ik_1 \eta_1} \times \\ \langle \rho_1, S^T | \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ A^{\rho}_{\perp}(0) \psi(\eta_1) | S^T, \rho_1 \rangle ,$$

We now perform the factorization procedure, we obtain

$$\begin{split} d\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.H}) &= \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} + \vec{\mathbf{q}}_{\perp}) \, \mathsf{C}_H \times \\ &\int dx_1 dy \delta(x_1 - x_B) \, \delta(y - y_B) \, \frac{2}{S} \mathcal{F}^g(y) \, g_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta} \times \\ &\int dx_2 \, \Phi_{\perp}^{[\gamma^+], \, \rho}(x_1, x_2) \, H^{\alpha\beta, \rho}(x_1, x_2) \,, \end{split}$$

for the first type of contributions;

and

$$d\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.D}) = \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} + \vec{\mathbf{q}}_{\perp}) C_D \times \int dx_1 dy \delta(x_1 - x_B) \,\delta(y - y_B) \,\frac{2}{S} \mathcal{F}^g(y) \,g_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{tr}_D \big[\Phi^{(1)}(x_1) \,D^{\alpha\beta}(x_1) \big] \,,$$

for the second type of contributions.

To simplify our calculations without losing generality, we may impose the frame where $q_{\perp}^2 \ll S$. The Mandelstam variable defined for the subprocess, \hat{u} , is a small variable and can be neglected. It means that the Bjorken fraction y_B becomes independent of x_B , and $-x_F \approx y_B = -T/S$ (due to $\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} = 0$).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ◆□ ● ● ●

DPP Hadron Tensor: final stage

The only nonzero contributions to the hadron tensor come from the diagrams H1, H7, D4 and H10:

$$d\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.H1}) = \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} + \vec{\mathbf{q}}_{\perp}) C_2 \times \int dx_1 dy \delta(x_1 - x_B) \,\delta(y - y_B) \,\mathcal{F}^g(y) \times \int dx_2 \,\frac{2S^2 \,x_1 \,y^2}{[x_2 y S + i\epsilon][x_1 y S + i\epsilon]^2} \,\frac{\varepsilon^{q_{\perp} + S_{\perp} -}}{p_1^+} \,B^V_-(x_1, x_2) \,,$$



I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

日本・モン・モン

Ξ

$$\begin{split} d\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.H7}) &= \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_\perp + \vec{\mathbf{q}}_\perp) \, \mathbf{C}_1 \times \\ \int dx_1 dy \, \delta(x_1 - x_B) \, \delta(y - y_B) \, \mathcal{F}^g(y) \, \times \\ \int dx_2 \, \frac{(-2)S \, T \, x_1 \, (y - 3y_B)}{[x_2 T + i\epsilon] [x_1 T + i\epsilon]^2} \, \frac{\varepsilon^{q_\perp + S_\perp -}}{p_1^+} \, B^V_+(x_1, x_2) \, , \end{split}$$



H7

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

$$\begin{split} d\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.D4}) &= \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \delta^{(1)}(\vec{k}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}) \, C_1 \times \\ &\int dx_1 dy \, \delta(x_1 - x_B) \, \delta(y - y_B) \, \frac{2}{S} \mathcal{F}^g(y) \times \\ &\frac{2S^2 \, x_1 \, (y - 2y_B)}{[x_1 T + i\epsilon]^2} \, \frac{\varepsilon^{q_{\perp} + S_{\perp} -}}{2x_1 p_1^+ + i\epsilon} \, \int dx_2 \, B^V_+(x_1, x_2) \, , \end{split}$$



D4

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

・ロト・「中・「中・「中・「日・

$$d\mathcal{W}(\text{diag.H10}) = \frac{d^{3}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}2E} \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}2\varepsilon} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{\perp} + \vec{q}_{\perp}) C_{3} \times \int dx_{1} dy \delta(x_{1} - x_{B}) \,\delta(y - y_{B}) \mathcal{F}^{g}(y) \times \int dx_{2} \,\frac{2T(x_{1} - x_{2})(2T + Sy)}{[x_{1}T + i\epsilon][x_{2}T + i\epsilon][(x_{1} - x_{2})yS + i\epsilon]} \,\frac{\varepsilon^{q_{\perp} + S_{\perp} -}}{p_{1}^{+}} \,B_{+}^{V}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \,.$$

Here, $C_1 = C_F^2 N_c$, $C_2 = -C_F/2$, $C_3 = C_F N_c C_A/2$.



H10

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ つくで

The other diagram contributions disappear owing to the following reasons:

- the γ -algebra gives $(\gamma^{-})^{2} = 0$;
- the common pre-factor T + yS goes to zero,
- the diagrams H2 and H5 cancel each other.

|▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Analysing the results for the diagrams H1, H7, D4 and H10, we can see that

 $d\mathcal{W}(\text{dia.H1}) + d\mathcal{W}(\text{dia.H7}) + d\mathcal{W}(\text{dia.D4}) = d\mathcal{W}(\text{dia.H10}).$

In other words, as similar to the Drell-Yan process, the new (non-standard) contributions generated by the diagrams H1, H7 and D4 result again in the factor of 2 compared to the standard diagram H10 contribution to the corresponding hadron tensor.

This is our principle result.

P.S. Definitions: Standard contributions – non-zero contributions for $B^{V} \in \Re e$ Non-Standard contributions – zero contributions for $B^{V} \in \Re e$

(日)

IVA, O.V. Teryaev, '09-10

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ◆□ ● ● ●

Single Transverse SA from Polarized DY:

$$\begin{split} A_{T} &= \frac{d\sigma^{(\uparrow)} - d\sigma^{(\downarrow)}}{d\sigma^{(\uparrow)} + d\sigma^{(\downarrow)}}, \quad d\sigma^{(\uparrow\downarrow)} = (dP.S.)\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \,\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathsf{GI}}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} &= \ell_{1\,\mu}\ell_{2\,\nu} + \ell_{1\,\nu}\ell_{2\,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu}\frac{q^{2}}{2}, \quad q = \ell_{1} + \ell_{2} \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}\,\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathsf{GI}} = -\frac{2}\cos\theta\,\varepsilon_{\ell_1\mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}_2}\,\bar{q}(y_B)\,\mathcal{T}(x_B,x_B)$$

where $T(x, x) = x\tilde{f}_T(x)$ with *T*-odd function $\tilde{f}_T(x)$.

Single Transverse SA from Polarized DY

$$d\sigma^{(\uparrow\downarrow)} \sim \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \,\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathsf{GI}} = -2\cos\theta \,\varepsilon_{\ell_1 S^T p_1 p_2} \,\bar{q}(y_B) \,T(x_B, x_B)\,,$$

which revises the previous results:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}\,\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{notGI}} = -\cos\theta\,\varepsilon_{\ell_1S^T\rho_1\rho_2}\,\bar{q}(y_B)\left[T(x_B,x_B) - x_B\frac{d}{dx_B}T(x_B,x_B)\right],$$

where
$$(\widehat{p}_1 \equiv x_B p_1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \,\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathsf{notGI}} \doteq \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \,\widehat{p}_{1\,\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \Big[\widehat{p}_{1\,\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\widehat{p} \cdot q}{Q^2} \Big] = \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \frac{p_{1\,\mu} - p_{2\,\mu}}{2}$$

owing to $\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} q_{\mu} \hat{p} \cdot q / Q^2 = 0.$

DY process in (semi)Exclusive mode



Figure: Drell-Yan process coming through the pion DA and the transition GPDs (left) and Drell-Yan process coming through the nucleon DA and the transition GPDs (right).

A B F A B F

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ つくで

At leading twist, the corresponding factorized amplitude takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{DY} &= \int d\mu(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{x}) \, \Phi_{M(N)}(\mathbf{z},\mu^2) \, H(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},Q^2,\mu^2,\mu_R^2) \, F(\mathbf{x},\mu^2) \\ &\equiv \Phi_{M(N)} \, \otimes \, H \, \otimes \, F, \end{aligned}$$

I.V. Anikin DY, DPP: Twist 3, Gluon Poles

More precisely, the factorized amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_{DY}^{(q)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{e}\pi\alpha_{s}f_{\pi}\boldsymbol{C}_{F}}{\sqrt{2}N_{c}\boldsymbol{Q}} \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{u}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{uu}^{+} - \boldsymbol{e}_{d}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{dd}^{+}\right] \mathcal{V}^{(\pi,+)},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm ff}^{+} &= \int\limits_{-1}^{1} dx \Big[\overline{U}(p') \hat{n} \gamma_5 U(P_X) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm ff'}(x) + \overline{U}(p') \gamma_5 \frac{n \cdot \Delta}{2M} U(P_X) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\rm ff'}(x) \Big] \times \\ & \Big[\frac{1}{x + \xi - i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x - \xi + i\epsilon} \Big], \\ & \mathcal{V}^{(\pi, +)} = \int\limits_{0}^{1} dy \phi_{\pi}(y) \Big[\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{1 - y} \Big]. \end{split}$$

Here, functions H and E are standard leading twist GPD's and their properties are fairly well-known

Drell-Yan process:

- It is mandatory to include a contribution of the extra diagram which naively does not have an imaginary part;
- This additional contribution emanates from the complex gluon pole prescription in the representation of the twist 3 correlator B^V(x₁, x₂) owing to the corresponding contour gauge;
- In the Feynman gauge, the correlators with γ[⊥]A⁺ and γ⁺(∂[⊥]A⁺) do not have the gluon poles and the gauge-invariant amplitude coincides with the amplitude derived within the axial-type gauge.

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

Direct Photon Production:

- In contrast to DY, this process includes both ISI and FSI that leads to the different gluon pole prescriptions in the diagrams under our consideration; In turn, the different gluon pole prescriptions ensure the QCD gauge invariance.
- ► We find that the non-standard new terms, which exist in the case of the complex twist-3 B^V-function with the corresponding prescriptions, do contribute to the hadron tensor in the same way as the standard term known previously. This is another important result of our work. We also observe that this is exactly similar to the case of Drell-Yan process.
- We observed the universality breaking, which spoils the standard factorization. However, the factorization procedure we proposed can still be applied for calculations.

ロトメポトメミトメミト・ミ