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## Main Message

## that I want to show in this Talk



your idea is understood.
But I use
only Statistical Mechanics !?

Because your Approach is
L.M.
different

Lattice QCD simulations provide
the fundamental information as a first principle calculation. However, Sign Problem in Finite Density lattice QCD prevents our mission.

## Monte Carlo

 Impossibe ?!
## QCD at finite density

$\mu$ : Chemical Potential

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta(H-\mu N)}=\int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi e^{-\beta S_{G}-\bar{\psi} \Delta \psi} \\
=\int \mathcal{D} U \prod_{f} \operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu) e^{-\beta S_{G}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Delta(\mu)=D_{\nu} \gamma_{\nu}+m+\mu \gamma_{0}
$$

$$
\Delta(\mu)^{\dagger}=-D_{\nu} \gamma_{\nu}+m+\mu^{*} \gamma_{0}=\gamma_{5} \Delta\left(-\mu^{*}\right) \gamma_{5}
$$

$$
(\operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu))^{*}=\operatorname{det}_{6 / 59} \Delta(\mu)^{\dagger}=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(-\mu^{*}\right)
$$

$(\operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu))^{*}=\operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu)^{\dagger}=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(-\mu^{*}\right)$
For $\mu=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{det} \Delta(0))^{*} & =\operatorname{det} \Delta(0) \\
\operatorname{det} \Delta & \square \text { Real }
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mu \neq 0 \quad$ (in general)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} \Delta \square \text { Complex } \\
& Z=\int \mathcal{D} U_{1}^{i} \prod_{f-\cdots \cdots} \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(m_{f}, \mu_{f}\right)^{\prime} e^{-\beta S_{G}} \\
& \text { Complex }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\langle O\rangle=\frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D} U O \operatorname{det} \Delta e^{-\beta S_{G}}
$$

In Monte Carlo simulation, configurations are generated according to the Probability:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det} \Delta e^{-\beta S_{G}} / Z \\
\operatorname{det} \Delta: \text { Complex }
\end{gathered}
$$

Monte Carlo Simulations very difficult !

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle O\rangle=\frac{\int D U O \operatorname{det} \Delta e^{-S_{G}}}{\int D U \operatorname{det} \Delta e^{-S_{G}}} \\
\operatorname{det} \Delta=|\operatorname{det} \Delta| e^{i \theta} \\
\langle O\rangle=\frac{\int D U O|\operatorname{det} \Delta| e^{i \theta} e^{-S_{G}}}{\int D U|\operatorname{det} \Delta| e^{-S_{G}}} \times \frac{\int D U|\operatorname{det} \Delta| e^{-S_{G}}}{\int D U|\operatorname{det} \Delta| e^{i \theta} e^{-S_{G}}} \\
=\frac{\left\langle O e^{i \theta}\right\rangle_{|\operatorname{det}|}}{\left\langle e^{i \theta}\right\rangle_{|\operatorname{det}|}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Origin of the Sign Problem

Wilson Fermions $\Delta=I-\kappa Q$

KS(Staggered) Fermions $\quad \Delta=m-Q_{1}^{\prime}$ $=m\left(I-\frac{1}{m} Q\right)$

$$
Q=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}^{+}+Q_{i}^{-}\right)+\left(e^{+\mu} Q_{4}^{+}+e^{-\mu} Q_{4}^{-}\right)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mu}^{+} & =* * U_{\mu}(x) \delta_{x^{\prime}, x+\hat{\mu}} \\
Q_{\mu}^{-} & =* * U_{\mu}^{\dagger}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \delta_{10} x^{\prime}, x-\hat{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{det} \Delta=e^{\operatorname{Tr} \log \Delta}=e^{\operatorname{Tr} \log (I-\kappa Q)}$

$$
=e^{-\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} \kappa^{n} \operatorname{Tr} Q^{n}}
$$

Hopping Parameter expansion or 1/(Large Mass) expansion

Only closed loops remain.
The lowest $\mu$ dependent terms

$$
\kappa^{N_{t}} e^{\mu N_{t}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{+} \ldots Q^{+}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=* * \kappa^{N_{t}} e^{\mu / T} \operatorname{Tr} L \\
\kappa^{N_{t}} e^{-\mu N_{t}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{-} \cdots Q^{-}\right) \\
=* * \kappa^{N_{t}} e^{-\mu / T} \operatorname{Tr} L^{\dagger}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\operatorname{Tr} L$ : Polyakov Loop
Add the both


## There are several cases where no Sign Problem occurs

© Pure Imaginal chemical potential
$Q(\operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu))^{*}=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(-\mu^{*}\right)$
${ }_{\text {\& }} \underset{\text { Color }}{\mu=i}=$
$\left(\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{I}\right)\right)^{*}=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{I}\right)$
(9) $U_{\mu}^{*}=\sigma_{2} U_{\mu} \sigma_{2}$
$\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(U, \gamma_{\mu}\right)^{*}=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(U^{*}, \gamma_{\mu}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{det} \sigma_{2} \Delta\left(U, \gamma_{\mu}^{*}\right) \sigma_{2}$ $=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(U, \gamma_{\mu}\right)$
$\$$ Finite iso-spin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{d}=-\mu_{u} \\
& \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{u}\right) \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{d}\right)=\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{u}\right) \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(-\mu_{u}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{u}\right) \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{u}\right)^{*}=\left|\operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{u}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pion-Condensation Problem

## Phase Quench = Finite-Isospin

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int|\operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu)|^{2} e^{-S_{G}}=\int \operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu) \operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu)^{*} e^{-S_{G}} \\
=\int \operatorname{det} \Delta(\mu) \operatorname{det} \Delta(-\mu) e^{-S_{G}} \\
=\int \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{u}\right) \operatorname{det} \Delta\left(\mu_{d}\right) e^{-S_{G}} \\
\mu_{u}=\mu, \quad \mu_{d}=-\mu
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
\text { For } \mu>\frac{m_{\pi}}{2}
$$



## Objective of

## Vladivostok Group



We assume the Fireballs created in High Energy Nuclear Collisons are described as a Statistical System.

with $\mu$ (chemical Potential) and $T$ (Temperature)



$$
Z(\mu, T)
$$

Grand Canonical
Partition Function

This Statistical Description is good at least as a first approximation
with Two Parameters Chemical Potential, $\mu$ and Temperature, $T$
$Z_{G C}(\mu, T)$ Grand Canonical Partition Function

Alternative: Number, $n$ and Temperature, $T$
$Z_{C}(n, T) \quad$ Canonical Partition Function


## Our Tool

## Canonical Approach Not so well-known

## From



Experiments



## Advantage to use

IWe can construct (approximate) $Z_{n}$ from experimental Baryon number and Charge Distributions.
We can circumvent the sign problem in Lattice QCD.
\&We can construct Grand Partition Function $Z(\mu, T)$ from $Z_{n}$
§New approach, i.e., Challenging!

## They are equivalent and related as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\xi, T) & =\sum_{n} Z_{n}(T) \xi^{n} \\
& \xi \equiv e^{\mu / T} \text { Fugacity }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quick Proof of

## Fugacity Expansion

$$
Z(\mu, T)=\sum Z_{n}(T)\left(e^{\mu / T}\right)^{n}
$$

(Left Hand Side) $=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-(H-\mu N) / T}$


## This is a very useful relation.

## The partition function stands for the Probability

$$
Z_{G C}(\mu, T)=\sum_{n} Z_{n}(T) \xi^{n}
$$

System with $\mu$ and $T$

Probability to find (net-)baryon number= $n$

We extract $Z_{n}$ from experimental multiplicity at RHIC

$P_{n}=Z_{n} \xi^{n}$
( $\xi$ ) unknown
$\left(\xi \equiv e^{\mu / T}\right)$

$$
Z_{n}=P_{n} / \xi^{n}
$$

$Z_{n}$ satisfies

$$
Z_{+n}=Z_{-n}
$$

(Particle-AntiParticle Symmetry)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{n}=c Z_{n} \xi^{n} \\
P_{-n}=c Z_{-n} \xi^{-n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
P_{n} P_{-n}=c^{2} Z_{n} Z_{-n} \xlongequal{=z_{+n}^{2} Z_{n}^{2}}
$$

$$
\text { or } \sqrt{P_{n} P_{-n}}=c Z_{n}
$$

$$
\xi^{n}=\frac{P_{n}}{c Z_{n}}=\frac{P_{n}}{\sqrt{P_{n} P_{-n}}} \quad \xi \xi=\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{n}}{P_{-n}}}\right)^{1 / n}
$$




## Here we demand $Z_{+n}=Z_{-n}$
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Fitted $\xi$ are very consistent with those by Freeze-out Analysis.


## $Z_{n}$ from RHIC data



## Very useful relation, because

$$
Z(\xi, T)=\sum_{n} Z_{n}(T) \xi^{n}
$$

$$
\left(\xi \equiv e^{\mu / T}: \text { Fugacity }\right)
$$

$$
Z(\xi, T)
$$

$$
\text { at some } \xi \text { and } T
$$

$$
Z(\xi, T) \text { at ANY } \xi
$$

for both Experiments and Lattice

