

# Covariant Actions for Chiral $p$ -forms

Karapet Mkrtchyan

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik  
(Albert-Einstein-Institut)

SQS'19, Yerevan  
August 30, 2019

Based on arXiv:1908.01789

The Lorentz-covariant field variable is taken in the same representation as that of the little group carried by the corresponding particle

## Examples

- Trivial representation of the little group corresponds to the spin-zero particle. Lorentz covariant variable – scalar field.
- Vector representation of the little group corresponds to the spin-one particle and is described by a Lorentz vector field (Maxwell potential).
- Symmetric tensor of the little group corresponds to the spin-two particle and is described by the linearised Einstein equations (Fierz-Pauli) and is described by symmetric Lorentz tensor.

## Wigner classification of particles $\leftrightarrow$ field equations (unique?)

For massless spin-zero particle the simplest option is the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\square \phi = 0$$

The scalar here is a single field that carries one degree of freedom: trivial representation of the massless little group. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{2} \phi \square \phi$$

## Alternative

An alternative formulation of the scalar field is given by so-called Nototh Lagrangian by Ogievetsky and Polubarinov:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda B^{\lambda\nu}$$

## A $p$ -form and its dual

The Lagrangian is given in the form of (“Maxwell Lagrangian”)

$$\mathcal{L} \sim F \wedge \star F, \quad F = dA.$$

Massless  $p$ -form and a  $(d - 2 - p)$ -form fields describe correspondingly particles of  $p$ -form and a  $(d - 2 - p)$ -form representations of the massless little group  $ISO(d - 2)$ , which are dual to each other.

## Attention!

Dual formulations do not admit the same interacting deformations!

# Self-dual (Chiral) fields

There are special representations of the Poincaré algebra which are described by self-dual forms. The covariant equations describing such representations are given as:

$$F = \pm \star F, \quad F = dA$$

which implies the regular “Maxwell equations”  $d \star F = 0$ .

## Lagrangian?

Lagrangian formulation of the (free) chiral fields has a long history. Siegel '84, Kavalov-Mkrtchyan '87, Florianini-Jackiw '87, Henneaux-Teitelboim '88, Harada '90, Tseytlin '90, McClain-Yu-Wu '90, Wotzasek '91, ..., Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin '95,...

# Duality-symmetric fields

Maxwell action for  $p$ -forms and  $(d - 2 - p)$ -forms describes the same particle content.

When  $d = 2p + 2$ , the dual variables are of the same type and the Maxwell action itself takes the same form in both variables.

## Twisted self-duality equations

The Maxwell equations are equivalent to first-order equations involving both dual potentials:

$$F = \pm \star \tilde{F}, \quad F = dA, \quad \tilde{F} = d\tilde{A}$$

## Duality-symmetric formulations

Zwanziger '70,..., Tseytlin'90, Schwarz-Sen '93, Gibbons-Rasheed '95, Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin '96, Cederwall-Westerberg '97, Ivanov-Zupnik '02, ..., Kuzenko-Theisen '00,...

# Chiral $p$ -forms in $d = 4k + 2$ Minkowski space

## Minkowski vs Euclidean

Since  $\star^2 = (-1)^{\sigma+p+1}$  where  $\sigma$  is the number of time directions, only even-forms can be self-dual (chiral) in Minkowski space.

## $p = 2k$ forms in $d = 4k + 2$ dimensions

For even  $p$ -form potentials in special dimensions the corresponding particles are not irreducible but contain two irreps — chiral and anti-chiral halves.

## The new Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2(p+1)} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{[\mu} c_{\nu]} \\ & -\frac{1}{2(p+1)} (\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} - (p+1) c_{[\mu_1} R_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}]}) \times \\ & \times (\mathcal{F}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} - (p+1) c^{[\mu_1} R^{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}]})\end{aligned}$$

where

$$F = d\varphi, \quad \mathcal{F} = F + \star F,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L} \sim -F \wedge \star F + \star G \wedge dc - (\mathcal{F} - c \wedge R) \wedge \star(\mathcal{F} - c \wedge R)$$

## Integrating out the auxiliary field

We solve the algebraic equation of motion for the field  $R_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} c^{\mu_1} + (-1)^{p+1} p c_{[\mu_2} R_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_{p+1}] \mu_1} c^{\mu_1} - c^2 R_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}} = 0$$

as

$$R_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} = \frac{1}{c^2} \mathcal{F}_{\nu \mu_1 \dots \mu_p} c^\nu + c_{[\mu_1} \lambda_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_p]}$$

and plug back into the action to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2(p+1)} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{[\mu} c_{\nu]} \\ & + \frac{1}{2c^2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \nu} c^\nu \mathcal{F}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \rho} c_\rho \end{aligned}$$

classically equivalent to the celebrated PST action.

Integrating out the field  $G$ , we get a classically equivalent Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2(p+1)} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2(p+1)} (\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} - (p+1) \partial_{[\mu_1} a R_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}]}) \times \\ & \times (\mathcal{F}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} - (p+1) \partial^{[\mu_1} a R^{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}]}) ,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\mathcal{L} \sim -F \wedge \star F - (\mathcal{F} - da \wedge R) \wedge \star (\mathcal{F} - da \wedge R) ,$$

## Rearranging the Lagrangian

After a field redefinition  $\varphi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \rightarrow \varphi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} + a R_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$  can be rewritten in the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2(p+1)} (F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + a Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}) (F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + a Q^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}) \\ - \frac{1}{(p+1)(p+1)!} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1} \nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} a F^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} Q^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}},$$

where  $Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} = (p+1) \partial_{[\mu_1} R_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}]}$ , or

$$\mathcal{L} \sim -(F + aQ) \wedge \star(F + aQ) - aF \wedge Q$$

where  $F = d\varphi$ ,  $Q = dR$ .

Finally, one can rewrite the action in the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2(p+1)} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} - \frac{1}{2(p+1)} (\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + a Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}})^2$$

We will use the notation:

$$Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^{\pm} = Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \pm \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1} \nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} Q^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}}$$

for (anti)self-dual part of the  $(p+1)$ -form  $Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}$ .

Combining the equations of motion  $E^\varphi$ ,  $E^R$  for the fields  $\varphi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$  and  $R_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$  one gets

$$E_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^R + a E_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^\varphi = \partial^{\mu_1} a P_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} = 0,$$
$$P_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} + a Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}^+,$$

which implies

$$P_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} = 0,$$

automatically satisfying the equation of motion  $E^a$  for the  $a$  field,

$$E^a = Q_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} P^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} = 0.$$

This indicates the existence of a PST like symmetry (shift for  $a$ ).

An interesting generalisation of the Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} f(a) (\sqrt{a} F + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} Q)^2 + f(a) F \wedge Q.$$

For  $f(a) \sim 1/a$ , this Lagrangian is equivalent to the chiral one written earlier and describes a single chiral  $p$ -form carried in field  $\varphi$ . For  $f(a) \sim a$ , it describes an anti-chiral  $p$ -form field carried by  $R$ . The exchange  $\varphi \leftrightarrow R, a \rightarrow -\frac{1}{a}, f(a) \rightarrow -f(a)$  is a symmetry of the Lagrangian.

One can integrate out the  $c_\mu$  field in the original action:

$$c_\mu = \frac{1}{R} \mathcal{F}_\mu + \frac{1}{R^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \tilde{r},$$

and plugging back into action (renaming  $\frac{1}{R} \rightarrow r$ ) to get:

$$S = \int \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial_\mu \tilde{r} \partial^\mu \tilde{r} - r \mathcal{F}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{r} \right) d^2 x.$$

Another parametrisation gives:

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm = -\frac{1}{8} [(r+1) \partial_\mu \varphi \pm (r-1) \partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi}]^2 + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} r \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi},$$

where different signs correspond to different chiralities. Here  $\varphi = \varphi_+ + \varphi_-$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi_+ - \varphi_-$ . The two actions transform into each other under  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ ,  $r \rightarrow -r$ .

## The Lagrangian in a simpler form

$$\mathcal{L} \sim -\mathcal{M}_{IJ} F^I \wedge \star F^J - \mathcal{K}_{IJ} F^I \wedge F^J,$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}_{IJ} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ a & a^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{IJ} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F^I = \begin{bmatrix} F \\ Q \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $F^I$  is a two-vector with  $p+1$ -form components.  $\mathcal{M}$  is of rank one. The “background matrix”  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{K}$  is invertible.

## An observation

The same action with the inverted background matrix  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$  describes the same degrees of freedom, exchanging the roles of  $\varphi$  and  $R$ .

## The Lagrangian for a single massless spin-one field

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{8} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + G^{\mu\nu} \partial_{[\mu} c_{\nu]} \\ & - \frac{1}{8} (\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a - 2c_{[\mu} R_{\nu]}^a)(\mathcal{F}^{a\mu\nu} - 2c^{[\mu} R^{a\nu]}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b = 1, 2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\mu\nu}^a &= \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a, & \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a &= F_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{b\lambda\rho}, \\ \epsilon_{ab} &= -\epsilon_{ba}, & \epsilon_{12} &= 1 = \epsilon^{12}, & \epsilon_{0123} &= 1 = -\epsilon^{0123}.\end{aligned}$$

The following identities hold (Einstein summation rule is assumed for both types of indices):

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \mathcal{F}^{a\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \mathcal{F}^{a\lambda\rho} = 2 \epsilon^{ab} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^b,$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{8} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8} (\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a + a Q_{\mu\nu}^a) (\mathcal{F}^{a\mu\nu} + a Q^{a\mu\nu})$$

where  $Q_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu R_\nu^a - \partial_\nu R_\mu^a$ . This Lagrangian describes a single Maxwell field, using four vectors and a scalar. It can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{M}_{IJ} F_{\mu\nu}^I F^{J\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{K}_{IJ} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\alpha\beta}^J,$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{IJ} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a \\ a & 0 & a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & a^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{IJ} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F^I = \begin{bmatrix} F^1 \\ F^2 \\ Q^1 \\ Q^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Set of long-standing problems.

## A list of related problems

- Duality-symmetric formulation for non-abelian gauge theory.
- Interacting theory of non-abelian Chiral (self-dual)  $p$ -forms.

Thank you for your attention!