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## 1 Purpose

The procedures CONLAW1, CONLAW2, CONLAW3, CONLAW4 try to find conservation laws for a given single/system of differential equation(s) (ODEs or PDEs)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{J}^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha}\left(x, u^{\beta}, \ldots, u_{K}^{\beta}, \ldots\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

CONLAW1 tries to find the conserved current $P^{i}$ by solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Div } P=0 \text { modulo }(\mathbb{T}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

directly. CONLAW3 tries to find $P^{i}$ and the characteristic functions (integrating factors) $Q^{\nu}$ by solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Div} P=\sum_{\nu} Q^{\nu} \cdot\left(u_{J}^{\nu}-w^{\nu}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

identically in all $u$-derivatives. Applying the Euler operator (variational derivative) for each $u^{\nu}$ on (3) gives a zero left hand side and therefore conditions involving only $Q^{\nu}$. CONLAW4 tries to solve these conditions identically in all $u$-derivatives and to compute $P^{i}$ afterwards. CONLAW2 does substitutions based on ( $\mathbb{T}$ ) before solving these conditions on $Q^{\nu}$ and therefore computes adjoined symmetries. These are completed, if possible, to conservation laws by computing $P^{i}$ from the $Q^{\nu}$.

All four procedures have the same syntax. They have two parameters, both are lists. The first parameter specifies the equations $(\mathbb{D})$, the second specifies the computation to be done. One can either specify an ansatz for $P^{i}, Q^{\nu}$ or investigate a general situation, only specifying the order of the characteristic functions or the conserved current. For a more detailed description see the file conca.tex.

The file CONLAWO.RED contains subroutines used in all four versions.

## 2 The Syntax

The procedures CONLAWi $\quad \mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4$ are called through
CONLAWi (problem, runmode) ;
where $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4$. Both parameters problem, runmode are lists. The first specifies the DEs to be investigated:
problem ... \{equations, ulist, xlist\}
equations... list of equations, each has the form $\mathrm{df}(\mathrm{ui}, \ldots$ )=... where the LHS (left hand side) df (ui, . .) is selected such that - The RHS (right h.s.) of an equations must not include the derivative on the LHS nor a derivative of it.

- The LHS of any equation should not occur in any other equation nor any derivative of the LHS.
If CONLAW3 or CONLAW4 are run where no substitutions are made the LHS of equations can be $\mathrm{df}(\mathrm{ui}, \ldots) * * \mathrm{n}=.$. . where n is a number. No difference is made between equations and constraints.
ulist ... list of function names, which can be chosen freely the number of functions and equations need not be equal xlist ... list of variable names, which can be chosen freely

The second parameter specifies the calculation to be done.
runmode ... \{minord, maxord, expl, flist, inequ\}
minord ... the minimum of the highest order of derivatives of $u$

- in p_t for CONLAW1 where $t$ is the first variable in xlist and
- in q-j for CONLAW2,CONLAW3,CONLAW4
maxord ... the maximum of the highest order of derivatives of $u$
- in p_i for CONLAW1 where $t$ is the first variable in xlist and
- in q-j for CONLAW2,CONLAW3,CONLAW4
expl ... (t/nil) whether or not the charac. functions q_i or conserved current may depend explicitly on the variables of xlist
flist ... a list of unknown functions in any ansatz for p_i, $\mathrm{q}_{-} \mathrm{j}$, also all parameters and parametric functions in the equation that are to be calculated such that conservation laws exist, if there are no such unknown functions then flist is the empty list: \{\}
inequ ... a list of expressions non of which may be identically zero for the conservation law to be found, if there is no such expression then inequ is an empty list: \{\}

The procedures CONLAWi return a list of conservation laws $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, if no nontrivial conservation law is found they return the empty list \{\}. Each $C_{i}$ representing a conservation law has the form $\left\{\left\{P^{1}, P^{2}, \ldots\right\},\left\{Q^{1}, Q^{2}, \ldots\right\}\right\}$.

An ansatz for a conservation law can be formulated by specifying one or more of the components $P^{i}$ for CONLAW1, one or more of the functions $Q^{\mu}$ for CONLAW2, CONLAW4 or one or more of $P^{i}, Q^{\mu}$ for CONLAW3. The $P^{i}$ are input as p_i where i in p_i stands for a variable name, and the $Q^{\mu}$ are input as q_i where i stands for an index - the number of the equation in the input list equations with which q_i is multiplied.

There is a restriction in the structure of all the expressions for $p_{-} i, q_{-j}$ that are specified: they must be homogeneous linear in the unknown functions or constants which appear in these expressions. The reason for this restriction is not for CRACK to be able to solve the resulting overdetermined system but for CONLAWi to be able afterwards to extract the individual conservation laws from the general solution of the determining conditions.

All such unknown functions and constants must be listed in flist (see above). The dependencies of such functions must be defined before calling CONLAWi. This is done with the command DEPEND, e.g. DEPEND $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{u} \$$ to specify $f$ as a function of $t, x, u$. If one wants to have $f$ as a function of derivatives of $u(t, x)$, say $f$ depending on $u_{t x x}$, then one can not write

DEPEND f,df(u,t,x,2)\$
but instead
DEPEND f,u!'1!'2!'2\$
if xlist has been specified as $\{t, \mathrm{x}\}$, because t is the first variable and x is the second variable in xlist and u is differentiated ones wrt. t and two times wrt. x we therefore get $u$ !' 1 !' 2 !' 2 . The character! is the exempt character to allow special characters like ' to occur in an identifier name.

It is possible to add extra conditions like PDEs for $P^{i}, Q^{\mu}$ as a list cl_condi of expressions that shall vanish. Remarks:

- The input to CONLAW1, CONLAW2, CONLAW3, CONLAW4 is the same apart from:
- an ansatz for $Q^{\nu}$ is ignored in CONLAW1
- an ansatz for $P^{i}$ is ignored in CONLAW2, CONLAW4
- the meaning of mindensord, maxdensord is different in CONLAW1 on one hand and CONLAW2, CONLAW3, CONLAW4 on the other (see above).
- It matters how the differential equations are input, i.e. which derivatives are eliminated. For example, the Korteweg - de Vries equation if input in the form $u_{x x x}=-u u_{x}-u_{t}$ instead of $u_{t}=-u u_{x}-u_{x x x}$ in CONLAW1 and choosing maxdensord $=1$ then $P^{i}$ will be of at most first order, Div $P$ of second order and $u_{x x x}$ will not be substituted and no non-trival conservation laws can be found. This does not mean that one will not find low order conservation laws at all with the substitution $u_{x x x}$ one only has to go to maxdensord=2 with longer computations as a consequence compared to the input $u_{t}=-u u_{x}-u_{x x x}$ where maxdensord $=0$ is enough to find non-trivial conservation laws.
- The drawback of using $u_{t}=\ldots$ compared with $u_{x x x}=\ldots$ is that when seeking all conservation laws up to some order then one has to investigate a higher order ansatz, because with each substitution $u_{t}=-u_{x x x}+\ldots$ the order increases by 2 . For example, if all conservation laws of order up to two in $Q^{\nu}$ are to be determined then in order to include a $u_{t t}$-dependence the dependence of $Q^{\nu}$ on $u_{x}$ up to $u_{6 x}$ has to be considered.
- Although for any equivalence class of conserved currents $P^{i}$ differing only by a curl, there exist characteristic functions $Q^{\mu}$, this need not be true for any particular $P^{i}$. Therefore one cannot specify a known density $P^{i}$ for CONLAW3 and hope to calculate the remaining $P^{j}$ and the corresponding $Q^{\mu}$ with CONLAW3. What one can do is to use CONLAW1 to calculate the remaining components $P^{j}$ and from this a trivial conserved density $R$ and characteristic functions $Q^{\nu}$ are computed such that

$$
\operatorname{Div}(P-R)=\sum_{\nu} Q^{\nu} \cdot\left(u_{J}^{\nu}-w^{\nu}\right) .
$$

- The $Q^{\mu}$ are uniquely determined only modulo $\Delta=0$. If one makes an ansatz for $Q^{\mu}$ then this freedom should be removed by having the $Q^{\mu}$ independent
of the LHS's of the equations and independent of derivatives of the LHS's of them. If the $Q^{\mu}$ were allowed to depend on anything, then (3) could simply be solved for one $Q^{\nu}$ in terms of arbitrary $P^{j}$ and other arbitrary $Q^{\rho}$, giving $Q^{\nu}$ that are singular for solutions of the differential equations as the expression of the differential equation would appear in the denominator of $Q^{\nu}$.
- Any ansatz for $P^{i}, q^{\nu}$ should as well be independent of the LHS's of the equations (1) and independent of derivatives of the LHS's of (1).


## 3 Flags, parameters

LISP (PRINT_:= NIL/0/1/ ...)\$
print_=nil suppresses all CRACK output, for print_=n ( $n$ an integer) CRACK prints only equations with at most $n$ factors in its terms.

CRACKHELP ()\$
to show other flags controling the solution of the overdetermined PDE-system,
OFF BATCH_MODE $\$$
to solve the system of conditions with CRACK interactively.

## 4 Requirements

REDUCE 3.7 and the files CRACK.RED, CONLAWO.RED, one of the files CONLAW1.RED, CONLAW2.RED, CONLAW3.RED, CONLAW4.RED depending which program should be used and all files CR*.RED which are read in from CRACK.RED.

One either has to read in files with
IN "crack.red","conlaw0.red","conlaw1.red"\$
(and appropriate paths) or compile them before with
FASLOUT "crack"\$
IN "crack"\$
FASLEND\$
FASLOUT "conlawO"\$
IN "conlaw0.red"\$
FASLEND\$
FASLOUT "conlaw1"\$
IN "conlaw1.red"\$
FASLEND\$
BYE\$
and load them afterwards with LOAD crack, conlaw0, conlaw1\$
conlaw2, conlaw3, conlaw4 are treated like conlaw1.

## 5 Examples

Below a CRACK-procedure nodepnd is used to clean up after each run and delete all dependencies of each function in the list of functions in the argument of nodepnd. More details concerning these examples are given when running the file conlaw.tst.
lisp(print_:=nil); to suppress output from CRACK

- a single PDE:

```
depend \(u, x, t \$\)
conlaw1 \((\{\{d f(u, t)=-u * d f(u, x)-d f(u, x, 3)\},\{u\},\{t, x\}\}\),
    \(\{0,1, t,\{ \},\{ \}\}) \$\)
nodepnd \(\{u\}\) \$
```

- a system of equations:

```
depend \(u, x, t \$\)
depend \(v, x, t \$\)
conlaw1 \((\{\{d f(u, t)=d f(u, x, 3)+6 * u * d f(u, x)+2 * v * d f(v, x)\),
    \(\mathrm{df}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{t})=2 * \mathrm{df}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{x}) * \mathrm{v}+2 * \mathrm{u} * \mathrm{df}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{x}) \quad\}\),
    \(\{u, v\},\{t, x\}\}\),
    \{0, 1, t, \{\}, \{\}\})\$
nodepnd \(\{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}\} \$\)
```

- a system of equations with ansatz:

```
depend u,x,t$
depend v,x,t$
depend r,t,x,u,v,u!`2,v!`'2$
q_1:=r*df(u,x,2)$
conlaw2({{df(u,t)=df(v,x),
            df(v,t)=df(u,x)},{u,v},{t,x}},
    {2, 2, t, {r}, {r}})$
nodepnd {u,v,r}$
```

- for the determination of parameters, such that conservation laws exist:

```
depend u,x,t;
conlaw1({{df(u,t)=-df(u,x,5)-a*u**2*df(u,x)
    -b*df(u,x)*df(u,x,2)-c*u*df(u,x,3)},
    {u}, {t,x}},
    {0, 1, t, {a,b,c}, {}});
nodepnd {u};
```

- for first integrals of an ODE-system including the determination of parameter values $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{r}$ such that conservation laws exist:

```
depend {x,y,z},t;
depend a1,x,t;
depend a2,y,t;
depend a3,z,t;
p_t:=a1+a2+a3;
conlaw2({{df(x,t) = - s*x + s*y,
        df(y,t) = x*z + r*x - y,
        df(z,t) = x*y - b*z},
    {x,y,z},{t}
},
{0,0,t,{a1,a2,a3,s,r,b},{}});
nodepnd {x,y,z,a1,a2,a3};
```

